arXiv:1105.1021v2 [math.DS] 6 May 2011

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF ELLIPTIC FUNCTIONS WITH CRITICAL VALUES APPROACHING INFINITY

PIOTR GAŁĄZKA

Faculty of Mathematics and Information Sciences
Warsaw University of Technology
Warsaw 00-661, Poland
tel.: +48 22 234 75 40, *fax.:* +48 22 625 74 60
e-mail: P.Galazka@mini.pw.edu.pl

Abstract. We consider the *escaping parameters* in the family $\beta\wp_\Lambda$, i.e. these parameters β for which the orbits of critical values of $\beta\wp_\Lambda$ approach infinity, where $\wp_\Lambda$ is the Weierstrass function. Unlike to the exponential map the considered functions are ergodic. They admit a non-atomic, σ-finite, ergodic, conservative and invariant measure μ absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Under additional assumptions on the $\wp_\Lambda$-function we estimate from below the Hausdorff dimension of the set of escaping parameters in the family $\beta\wp_\Lambda$, and compare it with the Hausdorff dimension of escaping set in dynamical space, proving a similarity between parameter plane and dynamical space.

1. Introduction

In the series of papers J. Hawkins and L. Koss [5, 6, 7] described dynamics of Weierstrass functions. Ergodic theory of non-recurrent elliptic functions was developed by J. Kotus and M. Urbański in [12, 13, 14]. Recently, in [8] there were given examples of all possible behaviours of non-recurrent elliptic functions (called in that paper critically tame functions). These include the map with critical values approaching infinity. The aim of this paper is to show that the escaping parameters form a considerably big set.

Let $f\colon \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be a transcendental meromorphic function. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by f^n the n-th iterate of f. The *Fatou set* $F(f)$ of f is the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that all iterates $f^n(z)$ are well-defined and the family $\{f^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a normal family in some neighbourhood of z. The complement of $F(f)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is called the *Julia set* of f. P. Domínguez in [4] proved that for transcendental meromorphic functions with poles *the escaping set*

$$I(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \lim_{n\to\infty} f^n(z) = \infty\}$$

Key words and phrases. Meromorphic functions, Julia set, escaping points, escaping parameters, Hausdorff dimension.

2000*Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37F35. Secondary 37F10, 30D05.

The author is partially supported by PW - grant No 504G/1120/0077/000 and MNiSW - grant No NN 201 607640.

is not empty and $J(f) = \partial I(f)$. Later P. Rippon and G. Stallard [17] showed that if, additionally a function f is in the Eremenko-Lyubich class $\mathcal{B}$, then $I(f) \subset J(f)$. It means that $\mathrm{Int} I(f) = \emptyset$. Recently, several authors [1, 2, 3, 18, 19] have studied properties of the escaping set for entire and meromorphic functions. In [10] the Hausdorff dimension of $I(f)$ was estimated from below for some class of meromorphic functions. In particular, this can be applied to elliptic functions of the form $g_\beta = \beta\wp_\Lambda$, $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, where $\wp_\Lambda$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function. As a corollary we obtain that the Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H(I(g_\beta)) \geq 4/3$. On the other hand, Bergweiler, Kotus and Urbański proved in [2, 12] that an upper bound on $\dim_H(I(g_\beta))$ is the same as a lower bound, so

$$\dim_H(I(g_\beta)) = \frac{4}{3}.$$

In this paper, we additionally assume that a lattice of $\wp_\Lambda$-function is triangular and the critical values of $\wp_\Lambda$ are the poles. As a counterpart of escaping set $I(g_\beta)$ we consider the set of escaping parameters in the family g_β, i.e.

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \lim_{n\to\infty} g_\beta^n(c_i) = \infty, \ i = 1, 2, 3\},$$

where c_i is a critical point of $\wp_\Lambda$. For these maps the Julia set is the whole plane $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. In this paper we construct a collection of Cantor subsets of $\mathcal{E}$ with prescribed rate of growth and estimate from below their Hausdorff dimension. The main result is the following theorem.

Theorem. *For any one-parameter family of functions $g_\beta(z) = \beta\wp_\Lambda(z)$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\Lambda = [\lambda_1, e^{2\pi i/3}\lambda_1]$ is a triangular lattice such that all critical values of $\wp_\Lambda$ are the poles, the Hausdorff dimension of the set of escaping parameters $\mathcal{E}$ is greater or equal to 4/3.*

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give the background definitions and results for studying elliptic functions, in particular the $\wp_\Lambda$-Weierstrass function. We also summarize metric properties of maps in $\mathcal{E}$. In sections 3 and 4 we show how one can find escaping parameters. In the last section we estimate from below $\dim_H(\mathcal{E})$.

2. General preliminaries

We start with recalling the definition and basic properties of elliptic functions. For $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_1/\lambda_2) \neq 0$ a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\Lambda = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2] = \{l\lambda_1 + m\lambda_2, \ l, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Definition 2.1. *An elliptic function is a meromorphic function $f : \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which is periodic with respect to a lattice Λ, i.e. $f(z) = f(z + l\lambda_1 + m\lambda_2)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

We denote by $b_{l,m} = l\lambda_1 + m\lambda_2$, $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, lattice points of Λ and by

$$\mathcal{R} = \{t_1\lambda_1 + t_2\lambda_2; \ 0 \leq t_1, t_2 < 1\}$$

a fundamental parallelogram of Λ. For a non-constant elliptic function and a given $w \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ the number of solutions of the equation $f(z) = w$ in $\mathcal{R}$ equals the sum of multiplicities of the poles in a fundamental parallelogram. Since the derivative of an elliptic function is also an elliptic function periodic with respect to the same lattice, then each elliptic function has infinitely many critical points but only finitely many critical values. Due to periodicity elliptic functions do not have asymptotic values. Thus they belong to the class $\mathcal{S}$.

A special case of an elliptic function is the Weierstrass elliptic function defined by

$$\wp_\Lambda(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{w\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}} \left(\frac{1}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{1}{w^2}\right)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and every lattice Λ. It is well-known that $\wp_\Lambda$ is periodic with respect to Λ and has order 2. The derivative of the Weierstrass function is also an elliptic function periodic with respect to Λ and is defined by

$$\wp'_\Lambda(z) = -2\sum_{w\in\Lambda} \frac{1}{(z-w)^3}.$$

The Weierstrass elliptic function and its derivative are related by the differential equation

$$\left(\wp'_\Lambda(z)\right)^2 = 4\left(\wp_\Lambda(z)\right)^3 - g_2\wp_\Lambda(z) - g_3, \tag{2.1}$$

where $g_2 = g_2(\Lambda) = 60\sum_{w\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{w^4}$, $g_3 = g_3(\Lambda) = 140\sum_{w\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{w^6}$. The numbers $g_2(\Lambda), g_3(\Lambda)$ are invariants of the lattice Λ in the following sense, if $g_i(\Lambda) = g_i(\Lambda')$, $i = 2,3$, then $\Lambda = \Lambda'$. Moreover, for any g_2, g_3 such that $g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 \neq 0$ there is a lattice Λ with invariants g_2, g_3. For any lattice Λ the Weierstrass function $\wp_\Lambda$ satisfies the property of homogeneity, i.e.

$$\wp_{\alpha\Lambda}(\alpha z) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\wp_\Lambda(z) \tag{2.2}$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. The Weierstrass function has poles of order 2 at lattice points and its derivative has poles of order 3. In the fundamental parallelogram the map $\wp_\Lambda$ has three critical points which we denote by

$$c_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{2},\ c_2 = \frac{\lambda_2}{2},\ c_3 = \frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}{2}.$$

We use the symbols $e_i = \wp_\Lambda(c_i)$, $i = 1,2,3$ to denote the critical values of $\wp_\Lambda$. They are related to each other with the equations

$$e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 0,\ e_1e_3 + e_2e_3 + e_1e_2 = -\frac{g_2}{4},\ e_1e_2e_3 = \frac{g_3}{4}. \tag{2.3}$$

We consider only the Weierstrass functions periodic with respect to triangular lattices, i.e. lattices $\Lambda = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ such that $\lambda_2 = e^{2\pi i/3}\lambda_1$. In other words a lattice is triangular if $\Lambda = e^{2\pi i/3}\Lambda$. For triangular lattices $g_2 = 0$ and the critical values of $\wp_\Lambda$ are the cube roots of $g_3/4$. Moreover, (2.1) and (2.3) imply that the critical value e_3 is a non-zero real number and e_1, e_2 are given by the formulas $e_1 = e^{4\pi i/3}e_3$, $e_2 = e^{2\pi i/3}e_3$. The iterations of the critical values turn out to have the same property, i.e. $\wp^n_\Lambda(e_1) = e^{4\pi i/3}\wp^n_\Lambda(e_3)$, $\wp^n_\Lambda(e_2) = e^{2\pi i/3}\wp^n_\Lambda(e_3)$, $n \geq 1$. It is a consequence of an invariance of a triangular lattice with respect to the rotation $z \mapsto e^{2\pi i/3}z$ and the homogeneity of $\wp_\Lambda$ given in (2.2) (see [6] for details).

We additionally assume that all the critical values of the Weierstrass function $\wp_\Lambda$ are poles. The example of a family of such lattices was given by J. Hawkins i L. Koss in [6].

Example 2.2. *Let* $\Omega = [\omega_1, \omega_2]$ *be a lattice with invariants* $g_2 = 0, g_3 = 4$*. It is a triangular lattice for which* $e_1 = e^{4\pi i/3}, e_2 = e^{2\pi i/3}, e_3 = 1$*. Let* $\gamma_1 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{e^{4\pi i/3}\omega_1^2}{m}}$*, where* m *is an odd negative number and* $\gamma_2 = \frac{\gamma_1\omega_2}{\omega_1}$*. Then, the lattice* $\Gamma = [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]$ *is triangular and all the critical values of* $\wp_\Lambda$ *are poles.*

Now, we describe ergodic properties of so-called critically tame elliptic functions studied by J. Kotus and M. Urbański in [14]. We start with some definitions and notations.

Definition 2.3. *Let* $f\colon \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ *be an elliptic function and* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *such that all iterates* $f^n(z), n \in \mathbb{N}$ *are well-defined. A point* $w \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ *is called an* $\omega-$*limit point of* z *for* f*, if there is a sequence of natural numbers* $n_k \to \infty$ *such that*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \operatorname{dist}_s(f^{n_k}(z), w) = 0,$$

where dist_s *denotes spherical metric in* $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$*. The* $\omega-$*limit set of* z *is a set of all* $\omega-$*limit points of* z *and we denote it by* $\omega(z)$*.*

Definition 2.4. *Let* D *be a domain in* $\mathbb{C}$ *and* $g\colon D \to \mathbb{C}$ *an analytic map. Set* $z \in \mathbb{C},\ r > 0$*. We denote by* $U(z, g^{-1}, r)$ *the connected component of* $g^{-1}(B(g(z), r))$*containing* z*. Suppose that* $c \in Crit(g)$*. Then, there exist* $r = r(g, c) > 0$ *and* $K = K(g, c) \geq 1$ *such that*

$$\frac{1}{K}|z - c|^p \leq |g(z) - g(c)| \leq K|z - c|^p$$

and

$$\frac{1}{K}|z - c|^{p-1} \leq |g'(z)| \leq K|z - c|^{p-1}$$

for all $z \in U(c, g^{-1}, r)$ *and some natural* $p = p(g, c)$*, and also such that*

$$g(U(c, g^{-1}, r)) = B(g(c), r).$$

The number p *is called the order of* g *at the critical point* c *and is denoted by* p_c*. The number* $p_c - 1$ *is the multiplicity of the zero of* g' *at* c*.*

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_n(f)$, $n \geq 1$, the set of prepoles of order n of f, i.e.

$$\mathcal{P}_n(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}\colon f^n(z) = \infty\}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{P}_1(f)$ is the set of poles of f.

Definition 2.5. *Suppose that* $f\colon \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ *is an elliptic function and* $b \in \mathcal{P}_1(f)$*. Let* η_b *denote the multiplicity of the pole* b*. We define*

$$q := \sup\{\eta_b\colon b \in \mathcal{P}_1(f)\} = \max\{\eta_b\colon b \in \mathcal{P}_1(f) \cap \mathcal{R}\}.$$

Denote by $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ the set of critical points of f, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Crit}(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \colon f'(z) = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathrm{Crit}_b(f)$ be the set of all prepole critical points, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Crit}_b(f) = \mathrm{Crit}(f) \cap \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n(f).$$

Moreover, we define the set of all critical points of f which trajectories approach infinity, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Crit}_\infty(f) = \{c \in \mathrm{Crit}(f) \colon \lim_{n\to\infty} f^n(c) = \infty\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_n(f) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(f))$ for all $n \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(f) \subset J(f)$. For every $c \in \mathrm{Crit}_b(f)$ there is a unique $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c \in \mathcal{P}_n(f)$. For all $c \in \mathrm{Crit}_\infty(f)$ and every $R > 0$ there exists natural N such that for all $n \geq N : |f^{n+1}(c)| > R$. This inequality is equivalent to the fact that $f^n(c)$ lies close to a unique pole b_n. That implies that for all $c \in \mathrm{Crit}_\infty(f)$ one can define a sequence of poles b_n close to the iterates of f.

Definition 2.6. *Let $f \colon \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be an elliptic function. For $c \in \mathrm{Crit}_\infty(f)$ we define*

$$q_c := \limsup_{n\to\infty} \eta_{b_n},$$

where the sequence $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ was defined above. Moreover, let

$$l_\infty = \max\{p_c q_c \colon c \in \mathrm{Crit}_\infty(f)\},$$

where p_c is as in Definition 2.4.

Definition 2.7. *Let $f \colon \mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be an elliptic function and $c \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$. We say that f is critically tame if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (a) *if $c \in F(f)$, then there exists an attracting or parabolic cycle of period p, $S = \{z_0, f(z_0), \dots, f^{p-1}(z_0)\}$ such that $\omega(c) = S$.*
- (b) *if $c \in J(f)$, then one of the following holds:*
  - (i) *$\omega(c)$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ such that $c \notin \omega(c)$,*
  - (ii) *$c \in \mathrm{Crit}_b(f)$,*
  - (iii) *$c \in \mathrm{Crit}_\infty(f)$ and*

$$\dim_H(J(f)) > \frac{2l_\infty}{l_\infty + 1}.$$

Denote by $\mathrm{Tr}(f) \subset J(f)$ the set of all transitive points of f, that is the set of points in $J(f)$ such that their forward trajectories are dense in $J(f)$.

We quote two results from [14], which became an inspiration for studying the escaping parameters $\mathcal{E}$. Below a conformal measure m is defined by means of the spherical metric.

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that f is a critically tame elliptic function, denote $h = \dim_H(J(f))$. Then there exist:*

a) *a unique atomless h-conformal measure m for $f\colon J(f)\setminus\{\infty\}\to J(f)$, m is ergodic, conservative and $m(\mathrm{Tr}(f))=1$.*
b) *a non-atomic, σ-finite, ergodic, conservative and invariant measure μ for f, equivalent to the measure m. Additionally, μ is unique up to a multiplicative constant and is supported on $J(f)$.*

The next proposition gives sufficient conditions for an elliptic function f to satisfy the conditions given in Definition 2.7.

Proposition 2.9. *If every critical point c of f is such that $c\in\mathrm{Crit}_b(f)$ or $c\in\mathrm{Crit}_\infty(f)$, then $J(f)=\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and f is critically tame.*

Proposition 2.8 and Proposition 2.9 imply that the elliptic functions considered in the next sections are ergodic with respect to the Riemann measure m. This is in contrast with Lyubich's result [15] which says that e^z is not ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure. The escaping parameters in the exponential family $f_\lambda(z)=\lambda e^z$, $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, were also studied by Urbański and Zdunik in [20]. Under the assumption that the forward trajectory of 0 grows exponentially fast (this includes the case $\lambda>1/e$), they showed that $\omega(z)=\{f_\lambda^n(0)\,:\,n\geq 0\}\cup\{\infty\}$ for a.e. $z\in J(f_\lambda)=\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Later Hemke [9] proved that these maps are non-recurrent. His results cover the fast escaping parameters in the tangent family $f_\lambda(z)=\lambda\tan(z),\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, for which again he proved that $\omega(z)=\{f_\lambda^n(\pm\lambda i)\,:\,n\geq 0\}\cup\{\infty\}$ for a.e. $z\in J(f_\lambda)=\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. In all the cases the existence of a non-atomic, σ-finite, ergodic, conservative and invariant measure μ for f, absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure follows from [11] or Proposition 2.8.

At the end of this section we recall a definition of distortion. Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$, $f\colon U\to\mathbb{C}$ be a conformal map, then its distortion is defined as

$$L(f,U):=\frac{\sup_{z\in U}|f'(z)|}{\inf_{z\in U}|f'(z)|}.$$

For conformal maps we have

$$L(f,U)=L(f^{-1},f(U)). \tag{2.4}$$

To prove a lower bound on $\dim_H(\mathcal{E})$ we use the following theorem proved by C. McMullen in [16].

Proposition 2.10. *For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{A}_n$ be a finite collection of disjoint compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$, each of which has positive d-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Define*

$$\mathcal{U}_n=\bigcup_{A_n\in\mathcal{A}_n}A_n,\quad A=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{U}_n.$$

Suppose that for each $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$ *there is* $A_{n+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ *and a unique* $A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ *such that* $A_{n+1} \subset A_n \subset A_{n-1}$. *If* Δ_n, d_n *are such that, for each* $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \cap A_n)}{\operatorname{vol}(A_n)} \geq \Delta_n > 0,\\ &\operatorname{diam}(A_n) \leq d_n < 1,\\ &d_n \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\dim_H(A) \geq d - \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{|\log \Delta_j|}{|\log d_n|}.$$

3. The escaping parameters

Unlike to the exponential or tangent family we do not know any examples of Weierstrass functions with critical values approaching infinity. In this section, we recall from [8] how one can find the elliptic functions with critical values eventually mapped onto poles (Lemma 3.1) and the maps with critical values escaping to infinity (Lemma 3.2).

We consider one-parameter family of functions

$$g_\beta(z) = \beta\wp_\Lambda(z),$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\Lambda = [\lambda_1, e^{2\pi i/3}\lambda_1]$ is a triangular lattice such that all critical values of $\wp_\Lambda$ are the poles. These lattices were constructed in [6] (see also Example 2.2). The functions under consideration g_β are periodic and their critical points are the same as for the Weierstrass function $\wp_\Lambda$. It was shown in [8] that the critical orbits of g_β behave symmetrically, i.e.

$$g_\beta^n(c_2) = \gamma^2 g_\beta^n(c_1), \;\; g_\beta^n(c_3) = \gamma g_\beta^n(c_1) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\gamma = e^{2\pi i/3}$. So we can take only one of them. Let it be the trajectory of the critical value $g_\beta(c_1)$. Denote $B_\rho(\infty) := \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \colon |z| > \rho\}, \rho > 0$. To prove the next lemma we consider the auxiliary functions $h_n(\beta) = g_\beta^n(c_1), n \in \mathbb{N}$. It will appear in the proof of the next lemma that these functions are defined outside a countable set of parameters.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* Λ *be a triangular lattice such that all critical values of* $\wp_\Lambda$ *are the poles. For every* $r > 0$ *and each* $n \geq 2$, *there is* $\beta \in B(1, r)$, *such that* $g_\beta^n(c_1) = \infty$.

Proof. Consider the function h_1 defined before, i.e. $h_1 \colon B(1, r) \to \mathbb{C}$, $h_1(\beta) = g_\beta(c_1)$, where $0 < r < 1/2$. By the assumption, $h_1(1) = g_1(c_1) = \wp_\Lambda(c_1)$ is a pole of $\wp_\Lambda$. Now we define $h_2 \colon B(1, r) \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ by the formula $h_2(\beta) = g_\beta^2(c_1)$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(h_2)$ the set of its poles. Since $h_2(1) = g_1^2(c_1) = \wp_\Lambda^2(c_1) = \infty$, then $1 \in \mathcal{P}(h_2)$. Thus, the theorem is true for $n = 2$. We can take r so small that 1 is a unique pole of h_2 in $B(1, r)$. Actually, let $\beta \in B(1, r) \setminus \{1\}$ be a pole of h_2. Thus, $h_2(\beta) = g_\beta^2(c_1) = \beta\wp_\Lambda(\beta\wp_\Lambda(c_1)) = \infty$, so $\wp_\Lambda(\beta\wp_\Lambda(c_1)) = \infty$, which implies $\beta\wp_\Lambda(c_1) \in \Lambda$. However $\wp_\Lambda(c_1) \in \Lambda$, so taking r small enough we have $\beta\wp_\Lambda(c_1) \notin \Lambda$ for $\beta \in B(1, r) \setminus \{1\}$. Then, h_2 is a non-constant meromorphic function. Since 1 is a pole (of order 2) of the function h_2, then we can take $R_2 \geq 2^2$ such that $B_{R_2}(\infty) \subset h_2(B(1,r))$. The set $B_{R_2}(\infty)$ contains infinitely many lattice points $b_{l,m}^{(2)}$ of Λ and each of them (being a pole of $\wp_\Lambda$) is the image of some parameter $\beta_{l,m}^{(2)} \in B(1,r) \setminus \{1\}$ under h_2. Choose one of $\beta_{l,m}^{(2)}$ and denote it, for simplicity, by β_2. We denote the corresponding pole by b_2. We have constructed the map g_{β_2}, such that the orbit of the critical point c_1 is the following

$$c_1 \mapsto g_{\beta_2}(c_1) \mapsto g_{\beta_2}^2(c_1) = b_2 \mapsto g_{\beta_2}^3(c_1) = \infty,$$

where $g_{\beta_2}(c_1)$ is close to (but not equal to) the critical value $\wp_\Lambda(c_1)$ and $g_{\beta_2}^2(c_1) \in B_{R_2}(\infty)$. Let $r_1 := r$. Take $0 < r_2 < r_1/2$ so small that $\overline{B(\beta_2, r_2)} \subset B(1,r) \setminus \mathcal{P}(h_2)$ and $h_3(B(\beta_2, r_2)) \subset B_{R_2}(\infty)$, where $h_3(\beta) = g_\beta^3(c_1)$. Restricting h_3 to $B(\beta_2, r_2)$, we take $R_3 \geq 2R_2 \geq 2^3$ such that $B_{R_3}(\infty) \subset h_3(B(\beta_2, r_2))$. Each lattice point $b_{l,m}^{(3)} \in B_{R_3}(\infty)$ is the image of some parameter $\beta_{l,m}^{(3)} \in B(\beta_2, r_2) \setminus \{\beta_2\}$. Note that this proves the existence of a parameter β_3 such that

$$c_1 \mapsto g_{\beta_3}(c_1) \approx \wp_\Lambda(c_1) \mapsto g_{\beta_3}^2(c_1) \approx b_2 \mapsto g_{\beta_3}^2(c_1) = b_3 \mapsto g_{\beta_3}^4(c_1) = \infty,$$

where none of the $\approx$ are equality and $b_i \in \Lambda \cap B_{R_i}(\infty)$ with $R_i \geq 2^i,\ i = 2, 3$. Now, by induction we define a map with the property that the critical point is a prepole of order $n \geq 4$. Fix $n \geq 4$ and suppose for all $k < n$ we have constructed the maps

$$h_k \colon B(1,r) \setminus \bigcup_{1<i<k} \mathcal{P}(h_i) \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$$

by the formulas $h_k(\beta) = g_\beta^k(c_1)$, where $\mathcal{P}(h_i)$ is the set of poles of h_i. We define a map

$$h_n \colon B(1,r) \setminus \bigcup_{1<k<n} \mathcal{P}(h_k) \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$$

such that $h_n(\beta) = g_\beta^n(c_1)$. The set $\bigcup_{1<k<n} \mathcal{P}(h_k)$ is a set of essential singularities of h_n. In its complement the map h_n is meromorphic, denote by $\mathcal{P}(h_n)$ its set of poles. Set a pole $\beta_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}(h_n)$. The equality $h_n(\beta_{n-1}) = g_{\beta_{n-1}}^n(c_1) = \infty$ implies that there is a small enough constant $0 < r_{n-1} < r_{n-2}/2$ such that $\overline{B(\beta_{n-1}, r_{n-1})} \subset B(\beta_{n-2}, r_{n-2}) \setminus \bigcup_{1<k<n} \mathcal{P}(h_k)$ and $h_n(B(\beta_{n-1}, r_{n-1})) \subset B_{R_{n-1}}(\infty)$. Now, we can take $R_n \geq 2R_{n-1} \geq 2^n$ such that $B_{R_n}(\infty) \subset h_n(B(\beta_{n-1}, r_{n-1}))$. Next, we choose one of the lattice points of Λ from $B_{R_n}(\infty)$ and denote it by b_n. We know that b_n is the image of some parameter $\beta_n \in B(\beta_{n-1}, r_{n-1}) \setminus \{\beta_{n-1}\}$, i.e. $b_n = h_n(\beta_n) = g_{\beta_n}^n(c_1)$. The orbit of the critical point c_1 for the map g_{β_n} is the following

$$c_1 \mapsto g_{\beta_n}(c_1) \approx \wp_\Lambda(c_1) \mapsto g_{\beta_n}^2(c_1) \approx b_2 \mapsto \ldots \mapsto g_{\beta_n}^n(c_1) = b_n \mapsto g_{\beta_n}^{n+1}(c_1) = \infty,$$

where $g_{\beta_n}^i(c_1) \in B_{R_i}(\infty), i = 1, \ldots, n$. This finishes the proof. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let Λ be a triangular lattice such that all critical values of $\wp_\Lambda$ are the poles. Then, for every $r > 0$ there is a parameter $\beta \in B(1,r)$ such that* $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_\beta^n(c_i) = \infty,\ i = 1, 2, 3.$

Proof. We show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_\beta^n(c_1) = \infty$. The 'symmetry' of the critical orbits given in (3.1) implies the lemma is true for c_2 and c_3. By Lemma 3.1, there is a sequence of parameters $\{\beta_n\}_{n\ge 2}$ such that

$$\left|g_{\beta_n}^n(c_1)\right| > R_n \quad \text{and} \quad g_{\beta_n}^{n+1}(c_1) = \infty,$$

where $R_n \ge 2^n$ and a decreasing sequence of balls $B(\beta_n, r_n) \subset B(1, r_1) \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{1<k<n} \mathcal{P}(h_k)}$ such that $r_n < 2^{-n}$. Since $r_n \to 0$, then there is the parameter $\beta = \bigcap_{n\ge 2} \overline{B(\beta_n, r_n)}$. By the construction from the proof of Lemma 3.1, β is an accumulation point of the set $\bigcup_{n>1} \mathcal{P}(h_n)$. The iterates of the critical point under g_β satisfy the conditions $\left|g_\beta^n(c_1)\right| > R_n \ge 2^n$ for all $n \ge 2$. Hence, $\lim_{n\to\infty} R_n = \infty$, which implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_\beta^n(c_1) = \infty$. □

4. Escaping parameters with prescribed rate of growth of critical orbits

In this section, we construct a collection of subsets of $\mathcal{E}$ with prescribed rate of growth of the critical orbits of g_β. We fix a function $\wp_\Lambda$ such that

$$\Lambda = [\lambda_1, e^{2\pi i/3}\lambda_1]$$

is a triangular lattice and all critical values of $\wp_\Lambda$ are the poles. These lattices were constructed in [6] (see also Example 2.2). We consider one-parameter family of functions

$$g_\beta(z) = \beta\wp_\Lambda(z), \ \beta \in B(1, r) \ \text{ for } 0 < r < 1/2. \tag{4.1}$$

The functions g_β are periodic and their critical points are the same as for the Weierstrass function $\wp_\Lambda$. It follows from (3.1) that the critical orbits of g_β behave symmetrically, i.e.

$$g_\beta^n(c_2) = \gamma^2 g_\beta^n(c_1), \ \ g_\beta^n(c_3) = \gamma g_\beta^n(c_1)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\gamma = e^{2\pi i/3}$. Since $\wp_\Lambda$ is periodic, there exist a constant

$$0 < \varepsilon_0 < \min\{1, |\lambda_1|/3\}$$

and holomorphic functions G, H such that for each pole $b_{l,m} \in \Lambda$

$$\begin{aligned}\wp_\Lambda(z) =& \frac{a_{-2}}{(z-b_{l,m})^2} + \frac{a_{-1}}{z-b_{l,m}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z-b_{l,m})^k =: \frac{G(z)}{(z-b_{l,m})^2}\\ \wp_\Lambda'(z) =& \frac{b_{-3}}{(z-b_{l,m})^3} + \frac{b_{-2}}{(z-b_{l,m})^2} + \frac{b_{-1}}{z-b_{l,m}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k (z-b_{l,m})^k =: \frac{H(z)}{(z-b_{l,m})^3}\end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in B(b_{l,m}, \varepsilon_0)$, where $G(b_{l,m}) = a_{-2} \ne 0$, $H(b_{l,m}) = b_{-3} \ne 0$. Shrinking ε_0, if necessary, we may assume that $G(z) \ne 0$ and $H(z) \ne 0$ for $z \in B(b_{l,m}, \varepsilon_0)$. The periodicity of $\wp_\Lambda$ implies that there exist universal constants $K_1, K_2 > 0$ such that

$$K_1^{-1} \le |G(z)| \le K_1, \quad K_2^{-1} \le |H(z)| \le K_2$$

on all balls $B(b_{l,m},\varepsilon_0)$. Hence,

$$\frac{K_1^{-1}}{|z-b_{l,m}|^2}\le|\wp_\Lambda(z)|=\left|\frac{G(z)}{(z-b_{l,m})^2}\right|\le\frac{K_1}{|z-b_{l,m}|^2}$$

and

$$\frac{K_2^{-1}}{|z-b_{l,m}|^3}\le|\wp'_\Lambda(z)|=\left|\frac{H(z)}{(z-b_{l,m})^3}\right|\le\frac{K_2}{|z-b_{l,m}|^3}$$

for all $l,m\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $z\in B(b_{l,m},\varepsilon_0)$. For every $\beta\in B(1,r)$, where r is defined in (4.1) and for all $z\in B(b_{l,m},\varepsilon_0), l,m\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\frac{C_1^{-1}}{|z-b_{l,m}|^2}\le|g_\beta(z)|=|\beta\wp_\Lambda(z)|\le\frac{C_1}{|z-b_{l,m}|^2}\tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\frac{C_2^{-1}}{|z-b_{l,m}|^3}\le\left|g'_\beta(z)\right|=|\beta\wp'_\Lambda(z)|\le\frac{C_2}{|z-b_{l,m}|^3}\tag{4.3}$$

where $C_1=2K_1, C_2=2K_2$. Moreover, shrinking ε_0, r if necessary, we can choose constants M_1, M_2, $0<M_2-M_1<\pi/4$ such that

$$M_1\le\arg(\beta G(z))\le M_2\tag{4.4}$$

for all $\beta\in B(1,r)$ and $z\in B(b_{l,m},\varepsilon_0), l,m\in\mathbb{Z}$. We recall from Section 3 that

$$h_1\colon B(1,r)\to\mathbb{C},\ h_1(\beta)=g_\beta(c_1),$$

where c_1 is a critical point of $\wp_\Lambda$. We choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that the following conditions are simultaneously satisfied

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon<\min\{\varepsilon_0,|\wp_\Lambda(c_1)|/3\},\\&B(\wp_\Lambda(c_1),\varepsilon)\subset h_1(B(1,r)),\\&\wp_\Lambda\text{ is one-to-one on each of the segments defined in (4.6).}\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Let

$$U(z_0,\varepsilon):=\{z\in\mathbb{C}\colon -\frac{3\pi}{8}\le\operatorname{Arg}(z-z_0)\le\frac{3\pi}{8},\ |z-z_0|\le\varepsilon\},\tag{4.6}$$

where $z_0\in\Lambda$ and ε is defined above. Next, we take $R_1>0$ such that

$$U(\wp_\Lambda(c_1),\varepsilon)\subset P(0,R_1,2R_1):=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:R_1<|z|<2R_1\}.$$

Using (4.2) and (4.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\{z\in\overline{\mathbb{C}}\colon|z|\ge\frac{C_1}{\varepsilon^2},\ -\frac{3\pi}{4}+M_2\le\arg z\le\frac{3\pi}{4}+M_1\}\subset g_\beta(U(b_{l,m},\varepsilon))\\&\subset\{z\in\overline{\mathbb{C}}\colon|z|\ge\frac{C_1^{-1}}{\varepsilon^2},\ -\frac{3\pi}{4}+M_1\le\arg z\le\frac{3\pi}{4}+M_2\}\end{aligned}$$

for all $l,m\in\mathbb{Z}$. Since $0<M_2-M_1<\pi/4$, there exists $\phi\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\{z\in\overline{\mathbb{C}}\colon|z|\ge\frac{C_1}{\varepsilon^2},\ \phi-\frac{\pi}{8}\le\arg z\le\phi+\frac{9\pi}{8}\}\subset g_\beta(U(b_{l,m},\varepsilon)).\tag{4.7}$$

We choose R_2 such that

$$R_2 > \frac{C_1}{(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^2}, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\alpha = \sin(\pi/8) = \sqrt{2-\sqrt{2}}/2$. Thus, it follows from (4.7) that

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > R_2,\ \phi \leq \arg z \leq \phi + \pi\} \subset g_\beta(U(b_{l,m}, \varepsilon)) \tag{4.9}$$

for all the poles $b_{l,m}$. Let $a_1 = R_2/R_1 > \frac{C_1}{(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^2 R_1}$. Now, we define a constant

$$a_0 = \max\left\{2, a_1, \frac{1}{R_1}, \frac{3C_1^{3/2}}{C_2 R_1}, \frac{6^4 C_1^6}{C_2^4 R_1^5}, \left(\frac{4\varepsilon(1+r)C_1^{3/2}}{C_2 R_1^{5/2}}\right)^{2/3}, \frac{\sqrt{C_1}}{\sqrt[3]{C_2}\sqrt{R_1}}\right\}. \tag{4.10}$$

Fix

$$a > a_0$$

and consider a sequence or radii

$$R_n := a^{n-1} R_1, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Let

$$P(0, R_n, 2R_n) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}\colon R_n < |z| < 2R_n\}, \quad n \geq 2$$

and

$$P^+(0, R_n, 2R_n) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}\colon R_n < |z| < 2R_n,\ \phi < \arg z < \phi + \pi\}, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{4.11}$$

The condition $a > a_0 \geq 2$ guarantees that the annuli $P(0, R_n, 2R_n)$ are pairwise disjoint. Recall that in the previous section we defined the auxiliary functions $h_n(\beta) = g_\beta^n(c_1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 4.1. *We define the following family of sets*

$\mathcal{A}_0(a) = \{A_0 = B(1, r)\}$,

$\mathcal{A}_1(a) = \{A_1 = h_1^{-1}(U(\wp_\Lambda(c_1), \varepsilon)) \subset A_0\}$,

$\mathcal{A}_2(a) = \{A_2 \subset A_1 \mid \exists b_{l,m}^{(2)} \in \Lambda\colon U(b_{l,m}^{(2)}, \varepsilon) \subset P^+(0, R_2, 2R_2),\ A_2 = h_2^{-1}(U(b_{l,m}^{(2)}, \varepsilon))\}$,

$\dots$

$\mathcal{A}_n(a) = \{A_n \subset A_{n-1} \mid \exists b_{l,m}^{(n)} \in \Lambda\colon U(b_{l,m}^{(n)}, \varepsilon) \subset P^+(0, R_n, 2R_n),\ A_n = h_n^{-1}(U(b_{l,m}^{(n)}, \varepsilon))\}$,

$\dots$

where $h_n^{-1}(U(b_{l,m}^{(n)}, \varepsilon))$ *denotes a component of the preimage under the map* h_n^{-1}. *Let*

$$\mathcal{U}_n(a) = \bigcup_{A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n(a)} A_n, \quad A(a) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_n(a).$$

Proposition 4.2. *For each* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *the set* $\mathcal{A}_n(a)$ *defined above is non-empty.*

Proof. In the previous section, we showed that the function h_2 has the pole at $\beta = 1 = h_1^{-1}(\wp_\Lambda(c_1)) \in \partial A_1$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}_1(a) \neq \emptyset$. Since $h_1(A_1) = U(\wp_\Lambda(c_1), \varepsilon)$, it follows from (4.9) that

$$h_2(A_1) = \{g_\beta(h_1(\beta)) | \beta \in A_1\} \supset P^+(0, R_2, 2R_2).$$

Take a pole $b_{l,m}^{(2)} \in \Lambda \cap P^+(0, R_2, 2R_2)$ with $U(b_{l,m}^{(2)}, \varepsilon) \subset P^+(0, R_2, 2R_2)$. Since $h_2(A_1) \supset P^+(0, R_2, 2R_2)$ there exists $\beta_{l,m}^{(2)} \in A_1$ such that $h_2(\beta_{l,m}^{(2)}) = b_{l,m}^{(2)}$. Thus, the set $\mathcal{A}_2(a)$ is nonempty. Now, we fix $n \geq 3$ and suppose that $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(a) \neq \emptyset$. We will show that $\mathcal{A}_n(a) \neq \emptyset$. Since $h_{n-1}(A_{n-1}) = U(b_{l,m}^{(n-1)}, \varepsilon)$ for some $b_{l,m}^{(n-1)} \in \Lambda \cap P^+(0, R_{n-1}, 2R_{n-1})$, it follows from (4.9) that

$$h_n(A_{n-1}) = \{g_\beta(h_{n-1}(\beta)) | \beta \in A_{n-1}\} \supset P^+(0, R_n, 2R_n),$$

as $R_n = a^{n-2}R_2$ and $a > a_0 \geq 2$ in view of (4.10). Choosing $\beta_{l,m}^{(n)} \in A_{n-1}$ such that $h_n(\beta_{l,m}^{(n)}) = b_{l,m}^{(n)} \in \Lambda \cap P^+(0, R_n, 2R_n)$ and $U(b_{l,m}^{(n)}, \varepsilon) \subset P^+(0, R_n, 2R_n)$, we obtain that $\mathcal{A}_n(a) \neq \emptyset$. By induction, the lemma is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. ☐

Theorem 4.3. *Let g_β be the family of maps defined above and let a_0 be a constant given in* (4.10)*. Then, for every $a > a_0$ there is a Cantor subset $A(a)$ of $\mathcal{E}$ such that*

$$\dim_H(A(a)) \geq \frac{4}{3} - \frac{6 \log 2}{\log a}.$$

Corollary 4.4. *For $a \to +\infty$ we have $\dim_H(A(a))) \nearrow \frac{4}{3}$ and $\dim_H(\mathcal{E}) \geq \frac{4}{3}$.*

5. The proofs

In this section we prove Theorem 4.3. We fix $a > a_0$ and consider the sets $\mathcal{A}_n(a)$, $n \geq 1$, defined in Definition 4.1. We drop the parameter a and keep notation from the last section.

The first two lemmas are devoted to estimates of the derivatives h_n', $n \geq 2$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$, $n \geq 2$. Then, for every $\beta \in A_n$*

$$h_n'(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} g_\beta'(g_\beta^k(c_1)) \left[g_\beta(c_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{g_\beta^k(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} g_\beta'(g_\beta^i(c_1))} \right].$$

Proof. Let $n = 2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(\beta) &= g_\beta(c_1) = \beta\wp_\Lambda(c_1), \\ h_2(\beta) &= g_\beta^2(c_1) = \beta\wp_\Lambda(\beta\wp_\Lambda(c_1)), \\ h_2'(\beta) &= \wp_\Lambda(\beta\wp_\Lambda(c_1)) + \beta\wp_\Lambda'(\beta\wp_\Lambda(c_1))\wp_\Lambda(c_1) = \frac{g_\beta^2(c_1)}{\beta} + \frac{g_\beta'(\beta\wp_\Lambda(c_1))g_\beta(c_1)}{\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} g_\beta'(\beta\wp_\Lambda(c_1)) \left[g_\beta(c_1) + \frac{g_\beta^2(c_1)}{g_\beta'(g_\beta(c_1))} \right] = \frac{1}{\beta} g_\beta'(g_\beta(c_1)) \left[g_\beta(c_1) + \frac{g_\beta^2(c_1)}{g_\beta'(g_\beta(c_1))} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the lemma is true for some $n \geq 2$. We show that it is true for $n+1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&h_{n+1}(\beta) = \beta\wp_\Lambda(h_n(\beta)),\\
&h'_{n+1}(\beta) = \wp_\Lambda(h_n(\beta)) + \beta\wp'_\Lambda(h_n(\beta)) \cdot h'_n(\beta)\\
&\quad = \frac{g_\beta^{n+1}(c_1)}{\beta} + g'_\beta(g_\beta^n(c_1)) \cdot \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} g'_\beta(g_\beta^k(c_1)) \cdot \left[g_\beta(c_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{g_\beta^k(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} g'_\beta(g_\beta^i(c_1))} \right]\\
&\quad = \frac{g_\beta^{n+1}(c_1)}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} g'_\beta(g_\beta^k(c_1)) \cdot \left[g_\beta(c_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{g_\beta^k(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} g'_\beta(g_\beta^i(c_1))} \right]\\
&\quad = \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} g'_\beta(g_\beta^k(c_1)) \cdot \left[g_\beta(c_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{g_\beta^k(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} g'_\beta(g_\beta^i(c_1))} + \frac{g_\beta^{n+1}(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} g'_\beta(g_\beta^i(c_1))} \right]\\
&\quad = \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} g'_\beta(g_\beta^k(c_1)) \cdot \left[g_\beta(c_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{g_\beta^k(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} g'_\beta(g_\beta^i(c_1))} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

□

We recall from the previous section (see (4.2),(4.3)) that there are universal constants C_1, $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{C_1^{-1}}{|z-b_{l,m}|^2} \leq |g_\beta(z)| \leq \frac{C_1}{|z-b_{l,m}|^2}, \quad \frac{C_2^{-1}}{|z-b_{l,m}|^3} \leq \left|g'_\beta(z)\right| \leq \frac{C_2}{|z-b_{l,m}|^3}$$

for all $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, every $z \in B(b_{l,m}, \varepsilon)$ and all $\beta \in B(1, r)$. To simplify the formulas in the following part of the paper we write

$$|g_\beta(z)| \asymp \frac{C_1}{|z-b_{l,m}|^2}, \quad |g'_\beta(z)| \asymp \frac{C_2}{|z-b_{l,m}|^3}. \tag{5.1}$$

Note that if $\beta \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \geq 2$ and $z = g_\beta^j(c_1)$ with $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots n-1\}$ we have $g_\beta(z) = g_\beta^{j+1}(c_1) = h_{j+1}(\beta) \in U(b_{l,m}^{(j+1)}, \varepsilon) \subset P^+(0, R_{j+1}, 2R_{j+1})$ and moreover, using (5.1),

$$R_{j+1} \leq |g_\beta(z)| \asymp \frac{C_1}{|z-b_{l,m}|^2} \leq 2R_{j+1} \tag{5.2}$$

for some $b_{l,m} \in \Lambda \cap P^+(0, R_j, 2R_j)$. The inequality (5.2) implies that

$$\frac{C_1}{2R_{j+1}} \leq |z-b_{l,m}|^2 \leq \frac{C_1}{R_{j+1}},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{C_1}{2R_{j+1}}\right)^{3/2} \leq |z-b_{l,m}|^3 \leq \left(\frac{C_1}{R_{j+1}}\right)^{3/2}.$$

Then,

$$\frac{C_2}{\left(\frac{C_1}{R_{j+1}}\right)^{3/2}} \leq |g'_\beta(z)| \asymp \frac{C_2}{|z-b_{l,m}|^3} \leq \frac{C_2}{\left(\frac{C_1}{2R_{j+1}}\right)^{3/2}}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{C_2 R_{j+1}^{3/2}}{C_1^{3/2}} \leq |g'_\beta(z)| \leq \frac{2^{3/2} C_2 R_{j+1}^{3/2}}{C_1^{3/2}} \tag{5.3}$$

for $\beta \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \geq 2$ and $z = g_\beta^j(c_1)$ with $j \in \{1, 2, \dots n-1\}$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$, $n \geq 2$. Then, for every $\beta \in A_n$*

$$\frac{1}{2(1+r)} \left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}} \leq |h'_n(\beta)| \leq \frac{5}{2(1-r)} \left(\frac{2^{3/2} C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}.$$

Proof. In Lemma 5.1, we proved that

$$h'_n(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} g'_\beta(g_\beta^k(c_1)) \left[g_\beta(c_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{g_\beta^k(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} g'_\beta(g_\beta^i(c_1))} \right]$$

for all $n \geq 2$ and every $\beta \in A_n$. First, we estimate the product $\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} g'_\beta(g_\beta^k(c_1))$. Observe that

$$g_\beta(g_\beta^k(c_1)) = g_\beta^{k+1}(c_1) = h_{k+1}(\beta),\ k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

The functions $h_2, \dots h_n$ are well-defined for $\beta \in A_n$, because $A_n \subset A_k$, $k = 2, \dots, n$. Since $h_{k+1}(\beta) \in P(0, R_{k+1}, 2R_{k+1})$, then using (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} g'_\beta(g_\beta^k(c_1)\right| &\leq \frac{2^{3/2} C_2 R_2^{3/2}}{C_1^{3/2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \frac{2^{3/2} C_2 R_n^{3/2}}{C_1^{3/2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{2^{3/2} C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} (aR_1)^{3/2} \cdot \ldots \cdot (a^{n-1} R_1)^{3/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{2^{3/2} C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3(n-1)}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we get the estimate from below

$$\left|\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} g'_\beta(g_\beta^k(c_1)\right| \geq \left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3(n-1)}{2}}.$$

Finally,

$$\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3(n-1)}{2}} \leq \left|\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} g'_\beta(g_\beta^k(c_1)\right| \leq \left(\frac{2^{3/2} C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3(n-1)}{2}}. \tag{5.4}$$

Now, using (5.4), we estimate the sum $\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{g_\beta^k(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} g_\beta'(g_\beta^i(c_1))}$.

$$\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{g_\beta^k(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} g_\beta'(g_\beta^i(c_1))}\right| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n} \left|\frac{g_\beta^k(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} g_\beta'(g_\beta^i(c_1))}\right| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{2R_k}{\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{k-1} a^{\frac{3k(k-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3(k-1)}{2}}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{2a^{k-1}R_1}{\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{k-1} a^{\frac{3k(k-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3(k-1)}{2}}} = \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{2}{\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{k-1} a^{\frac{(k-1)(3k-4)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3k-5}{2}}}$$

$$= \frac{2C_1^{3/2}}{C_2\sqrt[4]{aR_1}} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \left(\frac{C_1^{3/2}}{C_2}\right)^{k-2} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{3k^2-7k+3}{4}} R_1^{\frac{6k-11}{4}}}.$$

Since $a > a_0 \geq 2$ and $3k^2 - 7k + 3 \geq 6k - 11$ for $k = 2, 3, \ldots$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} \left(\frac{C_1^{3/2}}{C_2}\right)^{k-2} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{3k^2-7k+3}{4}} R_1^{\frac{6k-11}{4}}} \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n} \left(\frac{C_1^{3/2}}{C_2}\right)^{k-2} \frac{1}{(aR_1)^{\frac{6k-11}{4}}}.$$

Using the inequality $(6k-11)/4 \geq k-2$ for $k \geq 3/2$ and the fact that $a > a_0 \geq \max\{\frac{1}{R_1}, \frac{3C_1^{3/2}}{C_2R_1}\}$, we get

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} \left(\frac{C_1^{3/2}}{C_2}\right)^{k-2} \frac{1}{(aR_1)^{\frac{6k-11}{4}}} \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n} \left(\frac{C_1^{3/2}}{C_2aR_1}\right)^{k-2} \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{C_1^{3/2}}{C_2aR_1}\right)^{k-2} = \frac{1}{1-\frac{C_1^{3/2}}{C_2aR_1}} < \frac{3}{2}.$$

Hence,

$$\left|\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{g_\beta^k(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} g_\beta'(g_\beta^i(c_1))}\right| \leq \frac{2C_1^{3/2}}{C_2\sqrt[4]{aR_1}} \cdot \frac{3}{2} = \frac{3C_1^{3/2}}{C_2\sqrt[4]{aR_1}} \leq \frac{R_1}{2}, \tag{5.5}$$

because $a > a_0 \geq \frac{6^4C_1^6}{C_2^4R_1^5}$. Using (5.5), we get

$$\frac{R_1}{2} = R_1 - \frac{R_1}{2} \leq \left|g_\beta(c_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{g_\beta^k(c_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} g_\beta'(g_\beta^i(c_1))}\right| \leq 2R_1 + \frac{R_1}{2} = \frac{5R_1}{2}. \tag{5.6}$$

Plugging (5.4), (5.6) into the formula for h_n' from Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$|h_n'(\beta)| \leq \frac{1}{1-r}\left(\frac{2^{3/2}C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3(n-1)}{2}} \cdot \frac{5R_1}{2} = \frac{5}{2(1-r)}\left(\frac{2^{3/2}C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}$$

and

$$|h_n'(\beta)| \geq \frac{1}{1+r}\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3(n-1)}{2}} \cdot \frac{R_1}{2} = \frac{1}{2(1+r)}\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}$$

for $a > a_0$. Both estimates prove the lemma. $\square$

In Proposition 4.2, we showed that each set $\mathcal{A}_n$, defined in Definition 4.1, is non-empty and its elements, the sets A_n, contain on their boundary parameters β_n such that $h_n(\beta_n) \in \Lambda \cap P(0, R_n, 2R_n)$. In the next part of this section, we estimate the diameters of A_n and the ratios $\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \cap A_n)/\mathrm{vol}(A_n)$. To do that we should know that the functions h_n are conformal on $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Note that the maps $h_n, n \geq 2$, are holomorphic outside a countable set of points and have poles at $\beta_{n-1} \in \partial A_{n-1}$.

Lemma 5.3. *For each $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$, $n \geq 1$, the map h_n is conformal on A_n.*

Proof. The map h_1 is one-to-one and holomorphic on A_1. By induction, we show that the maps $h_n, n \geq 2$ are conformal. Suppose that $h_n, n \geq 1$ is conformal on A_n, we prove that h_{n+1} is conformal on $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$. If $n = 1$ then we take a segment

$$U(b^{(1)}_{l,m}, \varepsilon) \subset P(0, R_1, 2R_1)$$

with $b^{(1)}_{l,m} = \wp_\Lambda(c_1)$ and if $n \geq 2$ we consider a segment

$$U(b^{(n)}_{l,m}, \varepsilon) \subset P^+(0, R_n, 2R_n).$$

We know that $A_n = h_n^{-1}(U(b^{(n)}_{l,m}, \varepsilon)), n \geq 1$. Let $b^{(n)}_{l,m} = b_n$, $\beta_n = h_n^{-1}(b_n) \in \partial A_n$ and $b^{(n+1)}_{l,m} = b_{n+1}$. If $U(b_{n+1}, \varepsilon) \subset P^+(0, R_{n+1}, 2R_{n+1})$, then $h_{n+1}^{-1}(U(b_{n+1}, \varepsilon)) = A_{n+1} \subset A_n$. We define a map $\tilde{h}_{n+1}(\beta) = \beta_n \wp_\Lambda(h_n(\beta))$. It follows from (4.7) and (4.8) that

$$\tilde{h}_{n+1}(A_n) \supset \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \colon |z| \geq \frac{C_1}{\varepsilon^2},\ \phi - \frac{\pi}{8} \leq \arg z \leq \phi + \frac{9\pi}{8}\}$$

and (shrinking r if necessary) there is a set $\tilde{A}_{n+1}$ such that $A_{n+1} \subset \tilde{A}_{n+1} \subset A_n$ and

$$\tilde{h}_{n+1}(\tilde{A}_{n+1}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \colon (1-\alpha)R_{n+1} < |z| < (2+\alpha)R_{n+1},\ \phi - \frac{\pi}{8} \leq \arg z \leq \phi + \frac{9\pi}{8}\} \tag{5.7}$$

for some $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha = \sqrt{2-\sqrt{2}}/2$. We show that $\tilde{h}_{n+1}$ is one-to-one on $\tilde{A}_{n+1}$. Take $\beta', \beta'' \in \tilde{A}_{n+1}$ such that $\tilde{h}_{n+1}(\beta') = \tilde{h}_{n+1}(\beta'')$. By definition of the map $\tilde{h}_{n+1}$, we have $\wp_\Lambda(h_n(\beta')) = \wp_\Lambda(h_n(\beta''))$, where $h_n(\beta'), h_n(\beta'') \in h_n(\tilde{A}_{n+1}) \subset h_n(A_n) = U(b_n, \varepsilon)$. Since $\wp_\Lambda$ is one-to-one on $h_n(\tilde{A}_{n+1})$, then $h_n(\beta') = h_n(\beta'')$ and this implies that $\beta' = \beta''$. This follows from the injectivity of the map h_n. It follows from (4.11) and (5.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} U(b_{n+1}, \varepsilon) &= h_{n+1}(A_{n+1}) \subset P^+(0, R_{n+1}, 2R_{n+1}) \\ &\subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} \colon (1-\alpha)R_{n+1} < |z| < (2+\alpha)R_{n+1},\ \phi - \frac{\pi}{8} \leq \arg z \leq \phi + \frac{9\pi}{8}\} = \tilde{h}_{n+1}(\tilde{A}_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $\beta \in \partial\tilde{A}_{n+1}$, $0 < r < 1/4 - 1/(2\alpha+4)$ we have

$$\mathrm{dist}(\tilde{h}_{n+1}(\beta), h_{n+1}(A_{n+1})) \geq \alpha R_{n+1} > 2r|\wp_\Lambda(\zeta)|,$$

where $\zeta = h_n(\beta) \in \partial h_n(\tilde{A}_{n+1})$.
We define auxiliary maps $H_{n+1}(\beta) = h_{n+1}(\beta) - w$, $\tilde{H}_{n+1}(\beta) = \tilde{h}_{n+1}(\beta) - w$ with $w \in h_{n+1}(A_{n+1})$. Thus, for $\beta \in \partial\tilde{A}_{n+1}$ we have

$$|\tilde{H}_{n+1}(\beta)| = |\tilde{h}_{n+1}(\beta) - w| \geq \operatorname{dist}(\tilde{h}_{n+1}(\beta), h_{n+1}(A_{n+1})) > 2r|\wp_\Lambda(\zeta)|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|H_{n+1}(\beta) - \tilde{H}_{n+1}(\beta)| =&|h_{n+1}(\beta) - \tilde{h}_{n+1}(\beta)| = |\beta\wp_\Lambda(\zeta) - \beta_n\wp_\Lambda(\zeta)| = \\ &|\beta - \beta_n||\wp_\Lambda(\zeta)| < 2r|\wp_\Lambda(\zeta)|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|\tilde{H}_{n+1}(\beta)| > |H_{n+1}(\beta) - \tilde{H}_{n+1}(\beta)|$ on the set $\partial\tilde{A}_{n+1}$. Since the map h_{n+1} is holomorphic on $\operatorname{int}A_n$, then the maps $H_{n+1}, \tilde{H}_{n+1}$ are holomorphic on $\operatorname{int}\tilde{A}_{n+1}$ and continuous on $\partial\tilde{A}_{n+1}$. Thus, the assumptions of Rouché Theorem are satisfied. It implies that $\tilde{H}_{n+1}$ and $H_{n+1} = \tilde{H}_{n+1} + H_{n+1} - \tilde{H}_{n+1}$ have the same number of zeros on $\tilde{A}_{n+1}$, or, equivalently, the equations $\tilde{h}_{n+1}(\beta) = w$ and $h_{n+1}(\beta) = w$ have the same number of roots in $\tilde{A}_{n+1}$. Since the map $\tilde{h}_{n+1}$ is one-to-one on $\tilde{A}_{n+1}$, then the former equation has a unique root for a given w. Thus, the latter as well. This proves that h_{n+1} is one-to-one on A_{n+1}. The map h_{n+1} is holomorphic on $\operatorname{int}A_n$, then is conformal on A_{n+1}. □

Lemma 5.4. *Let $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$, $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$L(h_n, A_n) \leq \frac{5(1+r)}{1-r} \cdot 2^{\frac{3(n-1)}{2}}.$$

Proof. Using the definition of distortion and Lemma 5.2, for $a > a_0$ we get

$$L(h_n, A_n) = \frac{\sup_{\beta\in A_n} |h_n'(\beta)|}{\inf_{\beta\in A_n} |h_n'(\beta)|} \leq \frac{\frac{5}{2(1-r)}\left(\frac{2^{3/2}C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}}{\frac{1}{2(1+r)}\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}} = \frac{5(1+r)}{1-r} \cdot 2^{\frac{3(n-1)}{2}}.$$

□

Lemma 5.5. *For each $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$, $n \geq 2$,*

$$\operatorname{diam}(A_n) \leq \frac{4\varepsilon(1+r)}{\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}},$$

where ε is as in (4.5).

Proof. From Definition 4.1 we know that each set of the form $h_n(A_n)$ is a segment of radius ε, so $\operatorname{diam}(h_n(A_n)) \leq 2\varepsilon$. Using Lemma 5.2, for $a > a_0$ we get

$$\operatorname{diam}(A_n) \leq \frac{\operatorname{diam}(h_n(A_n))}{\inf_{\beta \in A_n} |h_n'(\beta)|} \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\frac{1}{2(1+r)} \left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}} = \frac{4\varepsilon(1+r)}{\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}}.$$

□

Remark 5.6. *Observe that* $\operatorname{diam}(A_n) \to 0$ *as* $n \to \infty$*, since* $a > a_0 \geq 2$*. This proves that the set* A *from Definition 4.1 is a Cantor set of parameters.*

By Lemma 5.5, the numbers d_n defined in Proposition 2.10 are equal to

$$d_n = \frac{4\varepsilon(1+r)}{\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}}, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{5.8}$$

and $d_1 = \operatorname{diam}(A_1) \leq 2r < 1$ by (4.1). We have

$$d_2 = \frac{4\varepsilon(1+r)}{\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}} a^{3/2} R_1^{5/2}} = \frac{4\varepsilon(1+r)C_1^{3/2}}{C_2 a^{3/2} R_1^{5/2}}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the condition $d_2 < 1$ is equivalent to

$$a > \left(\frac{4\varepsilon(1+r)C_1^{3/2}}{C_2 R_1^{5/2}}\right)^{2/3}.$$

Using (5.8), we get

$$\frac{d_{n+1}}{d_n} = \frac{\frac{4\varepsilon(1+r)}{\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n} a^{\frac{3n(n+1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n+2}{2}}}}{\frac{4\varepsilon(1+r)}{\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}}} = \frac{C_1^{3/2}}{C_2 a^{3n/2} R_1^{3/2}}$$

and

$$\frac{d_3}{d_2} = \frac{C_1^{3/2}}{C_2 a^3 R_1^{3/2}} < 1 \iff a^3 > \frac{C_1^{3/2}}{C_2 R_1^{3/2}} \iff a > \frac{\sqrt{C_1}}{\sqrt[3]{C_2}\sqrt{R_1}}.$$

Since $a > a_0 \geq \max\left\{1, \left(\frac{4\varepsilon(1+r)C_1^{3/2}}{C_2 R_1^{5/2}}\right)^{2/3}, \frac{\sqrt{C_1}}{\sqrt[3]{C_2}\sqrt{R_1}}\right\}$ and $d_{n+1}/d_n < d_3/d_2$ for $n \geq 3$, we get $d_n < 1, n = 2, 3, \ldots$ as required in Proposition 2.10.

Next, we estimate from below the density of the sets $\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \cap A_n$ in the set $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 5.7. *There exists* $M > 0$ *such that*

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \cap A_n)}{\mathrm{vol}(A_n)} \geq \frac{M}{2^{9n} R_{n+1}},$$

for each $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$, $n \geq 2$. *Moreover,*

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{U}_2 \cap A_1)}{\mathrm{vol}(A_1)} \geq \frac{M'}{R_2},$$

for some $M' > 0$.

Proof. First, we estimate the number N_n of parallelograms of the lattice Λ in the half-annulus $P^+(0, R_n, 2R_n)$ for $n \geq 2$. We have

$$N_n \asymp \frac{4\pi R_n^2 - \pi R_n^2}{2a^2(\Lambda)} = \frac{3\pi R_n^2}{2a^2(\Lambda)}, \tag{5.9}$$

where $a^2(\Lambda)$ is a measure of the parallelogram of Λ. Recall that in Definition 4.1 we considered the segments

$$U(b_{l,m}, \varepsilon) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}\colon -\frac{3\pi}{8} \leq \mathrm{Arg}(z - b_{l,m}) \leq \frac{3\pi}{8},\ |z - b_{l,m}| \leq \varepsilon\},$$

where $b_{l,m} \in \Lambda$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ as in (4.5). Hence, $\mathrm{vol}(U(b_{l,m}, \varepsilon)) = 3\pi\varepsilon^2/8$.

Fix $n \geq 2$ and $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$. There exist $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A_n = h_n^{-1}(U(b_{l,m}^{(n)}, \varepsilon))$, where $U(b_{l,m}^{(n)}, \varepsilon) \subset P^+(0, R_n, 2R_n)$. Moreover, for each $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ there are $l' = l'(k), m' = m'(k) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A_k = h_{n+1}^{-1}(U(b_{l',m'}^{(n+1)}, \varepsilon))$, where $U(b_{l',m'}^{(n+1)}, \varepsilon) \subset P^+(0, R_{n+1}, 2R_{n+1})$. To simplify the formulas we denote $b_{l,m}^{(n)}$ by b_n. There are finitely many sets $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ contained in A_n. We denote by b_k the pole corresponding to A_k. Let $\beta_n := h_n^{-1}(b_n) \in A_n$, $\beta_k := h_{n+1}^{-1}(b_k) \in A_k$. Lemma 5.3 implies that h_n are conformal on A_n. Using (2.4), we get

$$L(h_n, A_n) = L(h_n^{-1}, h_n(A_n)).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{vol}(A_n) &= \mathrm{vol}(h_n^{-1}(U(b_n, \varepsilon))) = \iint_{h_n^{-1}(U(b_n,\varepsilon))} d\beta \\
&= \iint_{U(b_n,\varepsilon)} \left|(h_n^{-1})'(z)\right|^2 dz \leq \iint_{U(b_n,\varepsilon)} \left(\sup_{z \in U(b_n,\varepsilon)} |(h_n^{-1})'(z)| \right)^2 dz \\
&= \mathrm{vol}(U(b_n, \varepsilon)) \left(L(h_n^{-1}, U(b_n, \varepsilon)) \inf_{z \in U(b_n,\varepsilon)} |(h_n^{-1})'(z)| \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{3\pi\varepsilon^2}{8} \left(L(h_n, A_n) |(h_n^{-1})'(b_n)| \right)^2 = \frac{3\pi\varepsilon^2}{8} \left(\frac{L(h_n, A_n)}{|h_n'(\beta_n)|} \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Set $P_{n+1} := P^+(0, R_{n+1}, 2R_{n+1})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \cap A_n) &= \sum_{A_k \subset A_n} \operatorname{vol}(A_k) = \sum_{b_k \in P_{n+1}} \operatorname{vol}(h_{n+1}^{-1}(U(b_k, \varepsilon))) \\
&= \sum_{b_k \in P_{n+1}} \iint_{U(b_k,\varepsilon)} \left|(h_{n+1}^{-1})'(z)\right|^2 dz \geq \sum_{b_k \in P_{n+1}} \iint_{U(b_k,\varepsilon)} \left(\inf_{z \in U(b_k,\varepsilon)} |(h_{n+1}^{-1})'(z)| \right)^2 dz \\
&= \frac{3\pi\varepsilon^2}{8} \sum_{b_k \in P_{n+1}} \left(\frac{\sup_{z \in U(b_k,\varepsilon)} |(h_{n+1}^{-1})'(z)|}{L(h_{n+1}^{-1}, U(b_k, \varepsilon))} \right)^2 \geq \frac{3\pi\varepsilon^2}{8} \sum_{b_k \in P_{n+1}} \left(\frac{|(h_{n+1}^{-1})'(b_k)|}{L(h_{n+1}^{-1}, U(b_k, \varepsilon))} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{3\pi\varepsilon^2}{8} \sum_{\beta_k \in A_k \subset A_n} \left(L(h_{n+1}, A_k) |h_{n+1}'(\beta_k)| \right)^{-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Now, using (5.10) and (5.11), we estimate the density of the sets $\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \cap A_n$ in A_n.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \cap A_n)}{\operatorname{vol}(A_n)} &\geq \frac{\sum_{\beta_k \in A_k \subset A_n} \left(L(h_{n+1}, A_k) |h_{n+1}'(\beta_k)| \right)^{-2}}{\left(\frac{L(h_n, A_n)}{|h_n'(\beta_n)|} \right)^2} \\
&= \frac{|h_n'(\beta_n)|^2}{(L(h_n, A_n))^2} \sum_{\beta_k \in A_k \subset A_n} \left(L(h_{n+1}, A_k) |h_{n+1}'(\beta_k)| \right)^{-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Lemma 5.1 and inequalities (5.6) give

$$|h_n'(\beta_n)| \geq \frac{R_1}{2(1+r)} \left| \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{\beta_n}'(g_{\beta_n}^j(c_1)) \right| \tag{5.13}$$

and

$$|h_{n+1}'(\beta_k)| \leq \frac{5R_1}{2(1-r)} \left| \prod_{j=1}^{n} g_{\beta_k}'(g_{\beta_k}^j(c_1)) \right|. \tag{5.14}$$

It follows from Lemma 5.4 that

$$(L(h_n, A_n))^2 \leq \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r} \right)^2 5^2 2^{3(n-1)} \tag{5.15}$$

and

$$(L(h_{n+1}, A_k))^2 \leq \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r} \right)^2 5^2 2^{3n}. \tag{5.16}$$

Plugging (5.13)-(5.16) into (5.12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{n+1}\cap A_n)}{\operatorname{vol}(A_n)} \geq \\
&\geq \frac{\left(\frac{R_1}{2(1+r)}\right)^2 \left|\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} g'_{\beta_n}(g^j_{\beta_n}(c_1))\right|^2}{\left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^2 5^2 2^{3(n-1)}} \sum_{\beta_k\in A_k\subset A_n} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^2 5^2 2^{3n} \left(\frac{5R_1}{2(1-r)}\right)^2 \left|\prod_{j=1}^{n} g'_{\beta_k}(g^j_{\beta_k}(c_1))\right|^2} \\
&= \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)^6 \frac{1}{5^6 2^{3(2n-1)}} \left|\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} g'_{\beta_n}(g^j_{\beta_n}(c_1))\right|^2 \sum_{\beta_k\in A_k\subset A_n} \frac{1}{\left|\prod_{j=1}^{n} g'_{\beta_k}(g^j_{\beta_k}(c_1))\right|^2} \qquad (5.17) \\
&= \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)^6 \frac{1}{5^6 2^{3(2n-1)}} \sum_{\beta_k\in A_k\subset A_n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|g'_{\beta_n}(g^j_{\beta_n}(c_1))\right|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|g'_{\beta_k}(g^j_{\beta_k}(c_1))\right|^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\left|g'_{\beta_k}(g^n_{\beta_k}(c_1))\right|^2} \\
&= \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)^6 \frac{1}{5^6 2^{3(2n-1)}} \sum_{\beta_k\in A_k\subset A_n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left|g'_{\beta_n}(g^j_{\beta_n}(c_1))\right|}{\left|g'_{\beta_k}(g^j_{\beta_k}(c_1))\right|}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\left|g'_{\beta_k}(g^n_{\beta_k}(c_1))\right|^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$

$$g_{\beta_n}(g^j_{\beta_n}(c_1)) = g^{j+1}_{\beta_n}(c_1) = h_{j+1}(\beta_n) \in P^+(0, R_{j+1}, 2R_{j+1})$$

and

$$g_{\beta_k}(g^j_{\beta_k}(c_1)) = g^{j+1}_{\beta_k}(c_1) = h_{j+1}(\beta_k) \in P^+(0, R_{j+1}, 2R_{j+1}),$$

since $\beta_n \in A_n \subset A_{j+1}$ and $\beta_k \in A_k \subset A_n \subset A_{j+1}$. Thus, by (5.3), for $j = 1, 2, \ldots n-1$ we have

$$|g'_{\beta_n}(g^j_{\beta_n}(c_1))| \geq \frac{C_2 R^{3/2}_{j+1}}{C_1^{3/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |g'_{\beta_k}(g^j_{\beta_k}(c_1))| \leq \frac{2^{3/2} C_2 R^{3/2}_{j+1}}{C_1^{3/2}}.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{\left|g'_{\beta_n}(g^j_{\beta_n}(c_1))\right|}{\left|g'_{\beta_k}(g^j_{\beta_k}(c_1))\right|} \geq \frac{1}{2^{3/2}},\ \ j = 1, 2, \ldots n-1. \qquad (5.18)$$

Analogously,

$$g_{\beta_k}(g^n_{\beta_k}(c_1)) = g^{n+1}_{\beta_k}(c_1) = h_{n+1}(\beta_k) \in P^+(0, R_{n+1}, 2R_{n+1})$$

as $\beta_k \in A_k \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$. By applying this to (5.3), we get

$$|g'_{\beta_k}(g^n_{\beta_k}(c_1))| \leq \frac{2^{3/2} C_2 R^{3/2}_{n+1}}{C_1^{3/2}}. \qquad (5.19)$$

Putting (5.18), (5.19) into (5.17) and by (5.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{n+1}\cap A_n)}{\mathrm{vol}A_n} &\geq \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)^6 \frac{1}{5^6 2^{3(2n-1)}} \left(\frac{1}{2^{3/2}}\right)^{2(n-1)} \frac{C_1^3}{2^3 C_2^2 R_{n+1}^3} \sum_{\beta_k\in A_k\subset A_n} 1\\ &= \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)^6 \frac{2^3}{5^6 2^{9n}} \frac{C_1^3}{C_2^2 R_{n+1}^3} N_{n+1} \asymp \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)^6 \frac{2^3}{5^6 2^{9n}} \frac{C_1^3}{C_2^2 R_{n+1}^3} R_{n+1}^2\\ &= \frac{M}{2^{9n} R_{n+1}},\end{aligned}$$

where $M = \frac{2^3(1-r)^6 C_1^3}{5^6(1+r)^6 C_2^2}$.

Similarly, we consider the case $n=1$. By Definition 4.1, the set $\mathcal{A}_1$ has only one element, i.e. A_1 and its Lebesgue measure $\mathrm{vol}(A_1) \leq \pi r^2$. The set A_1 contains finitely many subsets $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_2$. As for $n \geq 2$, we denote by b_k the pole corresponding to A_k. Arguing as in (5.11), we get

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{U}_2\cap A_1) \geq \frac{3\pi\varepsilon^2}{8} \sum_{\beta_k\in A_k\subset A_1} \left(L(h_2, A_k)|h_2'(\beta_k)|\right)^{-2}.$$

Setting $n=1$ in bounds (5.14), (5.16) we have

$$|h_2'(\beta_k)| \leq \frac{5R_1}{2(1-r)} |g_{\beta_k}'(g_{\beta_k}(c_1))| \quad \text{and} \quad (L(h_2, A_k))^2 \leq \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^2 5^2 2^3,$$

which implies that

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{U}_2\cap A_1) \geq \frac{3\pi\varepsilon^2}{8} \sum_{\beta_k\in A_k\subset A_1} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{5R_1}{2(1-r)}\right)^2 |g_{\beta_k}'(g_{\beta_k}(c_1))|^2 \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^2 5^2 2^3}.$$

Analogously as in (5.19), we obtain

$$|g_{\beta_k}'(g_{\beta_k}(c_1))| \leq \frac{2^{3/2} C_2 R_2^{3/2}}{C_1^{3/2}}$$

and we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{U}_2\cap A_1)}{\mathrm{vol}(A_1)} &\geq \frac{3\pi\varepsilon^2}{8\pi r^2} \sum_{\beta_k\in A_k\subset A_1} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{5R_1}{2(1-r)}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2^{3/2} C_2 R_2^{3/2}}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^2 5^2 2^3}\\ &= \frac{3\varepsilon^2(1-r)^4 C_1^3}{2^7 5^4 r^2 (1+r)^2 C_2^2 R_1^2} \frac{\sum_{\beta_k\in A_k\subset A_1} 1}{R_2^3} = M' \frac{N_2}{R_2^3} \asymp M' \frac{R_2^2}{R_2^3} = \frac{M'}{R_2},\end{aligned}$$

where $M' = \frac{3\varepsilon^2(1-r)^4 C_1^3}{2^7 5^4 r^2 (1+r)^2 C_2^2 R_1^2}$. $\square$

By Lemma 5.7, the numbers Δ_n from Proposition 2.10 are equal to

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{M'}{R_2}, \quad \Delta_n = \frac{M}{2^{9n} R_{n+1}}, \ n \geq 2.$$

Assembling the preceding lemmas, we may now prove Theorem 4.3.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Lemma 5.7 implies that for $a > a_0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\log \Delta_j| &= |\log \Delta_1| + \sum_{j=2}^{n} |\log \Delta_j| = \left|\log \frac{M'}{R_2}\right| + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \left|\log \frac{M}{2^{9j} R_{j+1}}\right| \\ &= \log(aR_1) - \log M' + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \log(2^{9j} a^j R_1) - (n-1)\log M \\ &= \log M - \log M' + n \log R_1 - n \log M + 9 \log 2 \sum_{j=2}^{n} j + \log a \sum_{j=1}^{n} j \\ &= \log \frac{M}{M'} + n \log \frac{R_1}{M} + \frac{9(n+2)(n-1)}{2} \log 2 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \log a.\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

In view of Lemma 5.5, for $a > a_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\log d_n| &= \left| \log \frac{4\varepsilon(1+r)}{\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}}\right)^{n-1} a^{\frac{3n(n-1)}{4}} R_1^{\frac{3n-1}{2}}} \right| \\ &= (n-1) \log \frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}} + \frac{3n(n-1)}{4} \log a + \frac{3n-1}{2} \log R_1 - \log 4\varepsilon(1+r).\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

The final estimate follows from (5.20) and (5.21). For $a > a_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_H(A(a)) &\geq 2 - \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \frac{M}{M'} + n \log \frac{R_1}{M} + \frac{9(n+2)(n-1)}{2} \log 2 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \log a}{(n-1) \log \frac{C_2}{C_1^{3/2}} + \frac{3n(n-1)}{4} \log a + \frac{3n-1}{2} \log R_1 - \log 4\varepsilon(1+r)} \\ &= 2 - \frac{\frac{1}{2} \log a + \frac{9}{2} \log 2}{\frac{3}{4} \log a} = 2 - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{6 \log 2}{\log a} = \frac{4}{3} - \frac{6 \log 2}{\log a}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, the theorem stated in section 1 follows from Theorem 4.3.

Question. *Is the Hausdorff dimension of the escaping set $\mathcal{E}$ equal to* $4/3$ *?*

References

[1] W. Bergweiler, B. Karpińska, G. M. Stallard, The growth rate of an entire function and the Hausdorff dimension of its Julia set, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 80 (2009), 680-698.

[2] W. Bergweiler, J. Kotus, On the Hausdorff dimension of the escaping set of certain meromorphic functions, to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, arxiv: 0901.3014.

[3] W. Bergweiler, P. J. Rippon, G. M. Stallard, Dynamics of meromorphic functions with direct or logarithmic singularities, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 97 (2008), 368-400.

[4] P. Domínguez, Dynamics of transcendental meromorphic functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 23 (1998), 225-250.

[5] J. Hawkins, L. Koss, Connectivity of Julia sets of Weierstrass elliptic functions, *Topology Appl.* 152, no. 1-2 (2002), 107-137.

[6] J. Hawkins, L. Koss, Ergodic properties and Julia sets of Weierstrass elliptic functions, *Monatsh. Math.* 137, no. 4 (2002), 273-300.

[7] J. Hawkins, L. Koss, Parametrized dynamics of the Weierstrass elliptic fnctions, *Conform. Geom. Dyn.* 8 (2004), 1-35.

[8] J. Hawkins, L. Koss, J. Kotus, Elliptic functions with critical orbits approaching infinity, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* Vol. 16, No. 5-6 (2010), 613-630.

[9] J. M. Hemke, Recurrence of entire transcendental functions with simple post-singular sets, *Fund. Math.* 187 (2005), 255-289.

[10] J. Kotus, On the Hausdorff dimension of Julia sets of meromorphic functions II, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 123 (1995), 33-46.

[11] J. Kotus, M. Urbański, Existence of invariant measures for transcendental subexpanding functions, *Math. Zeit.* 243 (2003) 25-36.

[12] J. Kotus, M. Urbański, Hausdorff dimension and Hausdorff measures of Julia sets of elliptic functions, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 35 (2003), 269-275.

[13] J. Kotus, M. Urbański, Geometry and ergodic theory of non-recurrent elliptic functions, *J. Anal. Math.* 93 (2004), 35-102.

[14] J. Kotus, M. Urbański, The class of pseudo non-recurrent elliptic functions; geometry and dynamics, preprint available at *www.math.unt.edu/∼urbanski*

[15] M. Yu. Lyubich, Measurable dynamics of the exponential, *Sib. Math. J.* 28 (1988), 780-793.

[16] C. McMullen, Area and Hausdorff dimension of Julia sets of entire functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 300 (1987), 329-342.

[17] P. J. Rippon, G. M. Stallard, Iteration of a class of hyperbolic meromorphic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (1999), 3251-3258.

[18] P. J. Rippon, G. M. Stallard, Escaping points of meromorphic functions with a finite number of poles, *J. Anal. Math.* 96 (2005), 225-245.

[19] P. J. Rippon, G. M. Stallard, Escaping points of entire functions of small growth, *Math. Zeit.* (3) 261 (2009), 557-570.

[20] M. Urbański, A. Zdunik, Geometry and ergodic theory of non-hyperbolic exponential maps, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2007), 3973-3997.